CAF - The C++ Actor Framework for Scalable and Resource-efficient Applications

Dominik Charousset, Raphael Hiesgen, and Thomas C. Schmidt {dominik.charousset,raphael.hiesgen,t.schmidt}@haw-hamburg.de

Dept. Computer Science Hamburg University of Applied Sciences Germany

October 2014, AGERE!@SPLASH





Previous Work

Implemented native actor library libcppa actor library in C++

- Target at both high-performance and embedded environments
- Allow millions of lightweight actors

Extended the actor model with publish/subscribe semantics

- Original actor model only foresees 1:1 communication
- Internet scale requires loose coupling
- Support heterogeneous hardware components
 - GPUs can outperform CPUs by orders of magnitude
 - Transparent integration of OpenCL allows flexible deployment

Rebranding & Modularization

Our approach to a growing userbase with diverse requirements:

- Move from a monolithic library to an open framework
- Split functionality into (optional) modules
- Enable customization via extensible framework structure
- Central project homepage¹ linking to all activities

¹http://actor-framework.org



2 Scheduling Infrastructure

3 Runtime Inspection & Debugging

4 Conclusion & Outlook



2 Scheduling Infrastructure

3 Runtime Inspection & Debugging

4 Conclusion & Outlook

Problem of Dynamic Typing

The original model² defines actors in terms of

- (Untyped) message passing primitives
- Pattern matching
- \Rightarrow Extensive integration testing required
 - Coding errors occur at runtime
 - Non-local dependencies are hard to track manually

²Carl Hewitt, Peter Bishop, and Richard Steiger. A Universal Modular ACTOR Formalism for Artificial Intelligence.

In Proceedings of the 3rd IJCAI, pages 235–245, San Francisco, CA, USA, 1973. Morgan Kaufmann Publishers Inc.

Lift type system of C++ and make it applicable to actor interfaces

- Compiler statically checks protocols between actors
- Protocol violation cannot occur at runtime
- Compiler verifies both incoming and outgoing messages:

```
using math =
  typed_actor<
    replies_to<int, int>::with<int>,
    replies_to<float>::with<float, float>>;
// ...
auto ms = typed_spawn(...);
sync_send(ms, 10, 20).then(
  [](float result) {
    // compiler error: result is int, not float
  }
);
```



2 Scheduling Infrastructure

3 Runtime Inspection & Debugging

4 Conclusion & Outlook

Scalability of Scheduling


CAF aims at scaling to millions of actors on hundreds of processors

- Actors cannot be implemented (efficiently) as threads
- Running in userspace prohibits preemption
- Classical thread pool or centralized scheduler has limitations
 - Central job queue is a bottleneck per se
 - Short-lived tasks cause significant runtime overhead
 - Could schedule actors for real-time with a priori knowledge ³

³M.L. Dertouzos and AK. Mok. Multiprocessor Online Scheduling of Hard-Real-Time Tasks. Software Engineering, IEEE Transactions on, 15(12):1497–1506, Dec 1989

Centralized Scheduling Issue

Divide & conquer: 2²⁰ actors with libcppa (central scheduling, 2013)



Scheduling Approaches

Active dispatching

- Central task management
- One (or more) threads manage others
- High communication overhead
- Shared work queues
 - Reactive task management
 - Workers access one (or more) shared queues
 - Frequent access to shared data is a likely performance bottleneck
- Individual work queues
 - Decentralized, reactive task management
 - Workers communicate only when idle
 - Minimizes synchronizations between threads

Work Stealing

Decentralized scheduling using Work Stealing⁴


- One job queue and worker per core
- Worker tries *stealing* work items from others when idle
- Stealing is a rare event for most work loads⁵
- But: A priori knowledge cannot be exploited (no global view)

⁴Robert D. Blumofe and Charles E. Leiserson. Scheduling Multithreaded Computations by Work Stealing. J. ACM, 46(5):720–748, September 1999.

⁵Vivek Kumar, Daniel Frampton, Stephen M. Blackburn, David Grove, and Olivier Tardieu. Work-stealing Without the Baggage.

In Proceedings of the ACM International Conference on Object Oriented Programming Systems Languages and Applications, OOPSLA '12, pages 297–314, New York, NY, USA, 2012. ACM.

Work Stealing




Configurable Scheduling in CAF

Framework has no a priori knowledge \rightarrow Work Stealing as default

- Using Work Stealing, CAF scales up to at least 64 cores
- Developers can deploy custom scheduler using

- max_msgs restricts # of messages actors can consume at once
 - Low value increases fairness and avoids bursts
 - High value minimizes queue access, usually maximizing throughput
- Policy can be implemented to exploit a priori knowledge, if possible


Scheduling Infrastructure



Divide & conquer: 2^{20} actors with CAF

Number of Cores [#]

Scheduling Infrastructure





2 Scheduling Infrastructure

3 Runtime Inspection & Debugging

4 Conclusion & Outlook


Debugging of distributed systems is inherently complex


- Non-trivial program flow
- No global clock
- Diverging states
- Recording messages crucial for on-line or post-mortem debugging
- Erroneous behavior can be reproduced using message replaying ⁶
- Visualization tools can help understanding complex errors ⁷


⁶Dennis Michael Geels, Gautam Altekar, Scott Shenker, and Ion Stoica. Replay debugging for distributed applications.

In Proc. of USENIX'06 Ann. Tech. Conf., pages 289-300. USENIX Assoc., 2006.

⁷ Terry Stanley, Tyler Close, and Mark S Miller. Causeway: A message-oriented distributed debugger. Technical Report HPL-2009-78, HP Laboratories, 2009.









Probes

- Intercept & forward three kinds of messages to the Nexus:
 - Activity events: incoming & outgoing messages
 - Error events: network & system failures
 - **Runtime statistics**: periodic collection of CPU load, etc.



The Nexus

- Provides global view of the distributed system
- Receives & collects events from Probes
- Statefully configures verbosity of Probes



Frontend application categories

- Observing agents: monitoring & threshold-based alerts
- **Supervising agents**: active manipulation of running app.
- Monitoring & visualization: access to aggregate state
 - \Rightarrow For instance, an *interactive inspection shell*

Interactive Inspection Shell

<pre>\$ list-nodes</pre>						
hostname123:1231						
Sokrates:42						
Platon:123						
\$ change-node Sokrat	es					
<pre>\$ statistics</pre>						
Node-ID:	afa	fafafa	fafaf	afafafafafafafa	fafafafafaf:42	
Hostname:	Sok	rates				
Operating system:	Mac	OS X				
		OS X Core	No	MHz/Core		
Operating system:			No 2	MHz/Core 2300		
Operating system:	#					
Operating system: CPU statistics:	# Ø					
Operating system: CPU statistics: Processes:	# 0 5] 0%	

- Allows users to inspect distributed system
- In global mode:
 - Global view to the system
 - Access to individual participating nodes
- In node mode:
 - Access to statistics such as RAM usage, CPU load, etc.
 - Direct interaction with actors on that node



2 Scheduling Infrastructure

3 Runtime Inspection & Debugging

4 Conclusion & Outlook

Conclusion

- CAF is a robust, scalable platform for native actor programming
- Strong emphasis on low mem. footprint and performance
- Type-safe messaging interfaces
- Open scheduling infrastructure with efficient default
- First step towards debugging distributed actors

Outlook

- Scale down to IoT devices (port CAF to RIOT-OS⁸)
- Load balancing for massively parallel, distributed systems
- Monitoring and debugging tools based on current platform
- Robust security layer for the IoT: subsuming strong authentication of actors in combination with opportunistic encryption

Thank you for your attention!

Homepage: http://actor-framework.org

Sources: https://github.com/actor-framework

iNET Working Group: http://inet.cpt.haw-hamburg.de

References



Carl Hewitt, Peter Bishop, and Richard Steiger.

A Universal Modular ACTOR Formalism for Artificial Intelligence.

In Proceedings of the 3rd IJCAI, pages 235–245, San Francisco, CA, USA, 1973. Morgan Kaufmann Publishers Inc.



M.L. Dertouzos and AK. Mok.

Multiprocessor Online Scheduling of Hard-Real-Time Tasks. Software Engineering, IEEE Transactions on, 15(12):1497–1506, Dec 1989.



Robert D. Blumofe and Charles E. Leiserson.

Scheduling Multithreaded Computations by Work Stealing. J. ACM, 46(5):720–748, September 1999.



Vivek Kumar, Daniel Frampton, Stephen M. Blackburn, David Grove, and Olivier Tardieu.

Work-stealing Without the Baggage.

In Proceedings of the ACM International Conference on Object Oriented Programming Systems Languages and Applications, OOPSLA '12, pages 297–314, New York, NY, USA, 2012. ACM.



Dennis Michael Geels, Gautam Altekar, Scott Shenker, and Ion Stoica.

Replay debugging for distributed applications. In Proc. of USENIX'06 Ann. Tech. Conf., pages 289–300. USENIX Assoc., 2006.



Terry Stanley, Tyler Close, and Mark S Miller.

Causeway: A message-oriented distributed debugger. Technical Report HPL-2009-78, HP Laboratories, 2009.