



Advanced Internet and IoT Technologies

Prof. Dr. Thomas Schmidt

http://inet.haw-hamburg.de | t.schmidt@haw-hamburg.de



Organisation of today's meeting

- 1. Introduction of Participants
- 2. Master Specialization & Course Organization
- 3. Introduction to the Internet Ecosystem

4. A Glimpse at the IoT



MUTUAL INTRODUCTION



The INET Group



inet.haw-hamburg.de





We work on

Protocols & Standards
Applications & Analyses
Systems & Security

on the Internet



INET is home of ...





Spoki:

RTRlib.
The RPKI RTR Client C Library.



Overview of

MASTER & COURSE ORGANIZATION



Master special: Network-centric and time-critical systems

"The Network is the Computer"

- John Gage

- Selected Aspects of Cyber-physical Systems
- Advanced Internet and IoT Technologies
- Real-time Systems
- Network Security and Measurement
- Protocol Engineering
- Distributed Adaptive Systems



Time schedule & assignments

Course hours: Tuesday 12:30-15:45

- Lecture/discussion
- Paper presentation/discussion
- Lab work/discussion

Assignments

- Prepare lecture, paper, background
- Work on lab tasks & projects
- Present a paper of the week
- Present your contribution to IoT project



Paper of the week

Everybody reads the paper before class.

One assignee prepares presentation according to the following 5-slide structure:

- Title slide
- Problem slide: What is addressed?
- 3. Methodology/Solution slide: How is the problem addressed?
- 4. Evaluation slide: What are the key findings?
- 5. Slide of 3-5 discussion questions



Lab assignments

Lab experiments will be assigned early

→ check webpage

Group projects will be fixed in KW 49

→ prepare and discuss ahead

Lab- and project work will be part of most class hours

→ quick way to clarify and exchange in sync


You can work on the project any other time

You need to actively present progress results

→ during class hours



Grading



You NEED to register online in MyHAW (legally binding).



Office hours, communication, and Web resources

Thomas Schmidt (lecture)

- Consulting hours: per email
- Room 480a
- T.Schmidt@haw-hamburg.de

Michel Rottleuthner (labs)

- Consulting hours: per email
- Room 481a
- Michel.Rottleuthner@haw-hamburg.de

Course homepage: https://www.inet.haw-hamburg.de/teaching/ws-2025-26/ait

- Tools & Announcements
- Links to slides, recordings, papers, background, and assignments
- Teams forum for Q&A
 - Don't be shy and ask your questions
 - Don't be hesitant and help your fellow students



Introduction to

INTERNET TECHNOLOGIES

Networking is a long-standing desire

Optical telegraph lines were operationally installed more than 200 years ago – for long-distance communication!





Internet design goals since more than 40 years ...

- + Global reach w/ integrity any two endpoints can exchange information
 - + implicit: globally-unique addressing and naming services
- + General purpose use no persistent favourites
 - + no inherent preferences or limitations on applications or services
- + Permissionless innovation new services easy to establish
 - + no barrier for service entry or application deployment
- Accessibility anyone can connect anywhere for any purpose
 - + inherent: open to adding nodes and attaching new networks
- + Interoperability w/ agreements cooperatively designed for collaboration
 - + a network of autonomous networks that agree on standards





We change perspectives





The Internet was designed as a distributed system

End-To-End Arguments in System Design

J. H. SALTZER, D. P. REED, and D. D. CLARK

Massachusetts Institute of Technology Laboratory for Computer Science

This paper presents a design principle that helps guide placement of functions among the modules of a distributed computer system. The principle, called the end-to-end argument, suggests that functions

ACM Transactions on Computer Systems, November 1984, https://doi.org/10.1145/357401.357402



there is a list of functions each of which might be implemented in any of several ways: by the communication subsystem, by its client, as a joint venture, or perhaps redundantly, each doing its own version. In reasoning about this choice, the requirements of the application provide the basis for the following class of arguments:

The function in question can completely and correctly be implemented only with the knowledge and help of the application standing at the endpoints of the communication system. Therefore, providing that questioned function as a feature of the communication system itself is not possible. (Sometimes an incomplete version of the function provided by the communication system may be useful as a performance enhancement.)

We call this line of reasoning against low-level function implementation the end-to-end argument. The following sections examine the end-to-end argument



Networking Research?


Networks are the backbone of Computing Almost all current trends are networked

- Distributed Computing
- -Big Data
- Cloud Computing
- Internet of Things
- -Smart Cities, Cars, Homes, ..., Al

Network-centric companies are most valuable:

- Apple needed the iPhone to reach value
- Microsoft needed the Cloud
- Google, Facebook, Amazon network

Hype Cycle of Emerging Technologies, 2025



Time

Source: Gartner © Gartner, Inc. and/or its affiliates. All rights reserved. CTMKT_3957950 **Gartner**



What does Smart mean?

In the old days:

- "Can think" → Computing, AI reasoning
- "Can remember" → Storage, Databases

Today:

- "Can quickly locate" → somewhere
- "Can learn" → distributed ML
- "Can connect" → anywhere





John Gage, Sun Microsystems, 1984



Internet Business

The open, universal availability of the Internet has threatened business:

Proprietary communication services

It opened a new ecosystems of (small) businesses

Breaking up Pareto's law: "Living on the long tail"


It is about to generate new monopolies

- ▶ Indirect "Multiparty Models"
- Revamp of Internet Service in proprietary settings

Currently, the abandonment of standards by big companies, popular applications, and its users is the largest threat to the Internet



Business as a Problem for the Internet?



Source: ISOC Internet consolidation report 2019


The Internet Ecosystem is changing

- -At the Internet Edge
- -In the Internet Core
- At application servicesOTT: Over The Top services
- -In its use
- -In its misuse

The Internet economy comes of age ... with a strong tendency to monopolize




Traditional Internet Hierarchies






Flattening Internet Topology



Quelle: G. Labovitz, et al.: Internet Inter-Domain Traffic, SIGComm 2010



The Internet merges and grows together





Is the Internet flat?

Not really
IXPs are local
The Internet is global



Internet Core

Tier1 ISPs: Highest capacities in private peering

- -Global presence, shrinking market
- Consolidation by fusion
- Manly complemented by access (Eyeballs)
- Customer base exploited for paid peering

IXPs: some large (transcontinental) growths

- Roll-out of L2 infrastructure reduces hops
- -Peering at (large) IXPs grows expensive



Internet Edge

Eyeballs' strength: number of end customers

Exclusive outreach is market potential

Regulation against conflicts and monopolies

Last mile & radio frequencies

Disproportional growths at Internet edges

- Bandwidths, customers, end node capacities
- Significant threats:Botnets/Exploits, DDoS (Gamer)



OTT Content Distribution

Internet content popularity is Zipf-distributed

Popular content is cacheable

Content distribution dominated by the BIG-7:

Akamai, Amazon, Apple, Facebook,Google, Microsoft, Netflix

All seven built their own CDNs

MULTI-CDN deployment: Core (own), edge
 (other) ... expansion strategies toward edge

Pervasive Encryption prevents caching at ISPs



Perspective of the OTT Service Operators

Indirect business models

- Customers pay to advertisers
- -Special: Amazon

Accumulation of personal and behavioral data Data analysis to

- Personalize ads and market research
- -Successional exploitation
 - Cambridge Analytica

Business fundament: Information Asymmetry

Investment Strategies of the OTTs

Dominate IT-Infrastructure

- Few can operate global (cloud) infrastructure
- Control base infrastructure
 - Build own cable/wireless infrastructure
 - -Buy (shares of) cable providers
- Control end systems
 - OSes, Browsers (+ content servers)
 - Grants deployment sovereignty

Consequence: ISPs pushed into sandwich position





- Hawaiki (major capacity buyer)
- JUPITER (part owner)



- AEConnect (major capacity buyer)
- · Asia Pacific Gateway (APG) (part owner)
- MAREA (part owner)
- Pacific Light Cable Network (PLCN) (part owner)
- JUPITER (part owner)



TAT-14 retired Dec, 2020

- · FASTER (part owner)
- INDIGO-Central (part owner)
- INDIGO-West (part owner)
- Junior (sole owner)
- Monet (part owner)
- · Pacific Light Cable Network (PLCN) (part owner)
- · Southeast Asia Japan Cable (SJC) (part owner)
- Tannat (part owner)
- Unity/EAC Pacific (part owner)



- AEConnect (major capacity buyer)
- Hibernia Express (major capacity buyer)
- · New Cross Pacific (NCP) Cable System (part owner)
- · MAREA (part owner)

https://blog.telegeography.com/telegeographys-content-providers-submarine-cable-holdings-list



The Internet consolidates

Fewer and fewer understand the Internet ecosystem

Fewer are able to operate the Internet Fewer profit (significantly) from the Internet Snowden nightmare turned into a boomerang



...Alphabet not only operates an online advertising platform, but also a search engine, a mail platform, a document store, a cloud service, a public DNS resolver service, a mobile device platform, a browser, and mapping services to name just a few. It appears that in this case, it is one enterprise with engagement in many discrete activities. The issue with consolidation is whether these activities remain discrete activities or whether they are being consolidated into a single service.

-Geoff Huston, RIPE NCC, December 2018



Unique potentials of the Internet

o Global ubiquity o Mobility

o Service adaptivity o Group communication





The Video Tsunami





Audio + Video on the Internet?

A long story:

1981 – Packet Video Protocol (PVP), ISI/USC

1990 – Internet Stream Protocol II – IPv5 (RFC 1190)


1991 – First Video Conference in DARTnet

1992 – Casner/Deering (ACM SIGCOMM CCR):

"At the March, 1992 meeting of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF) in San Diego, live audio from several sessions of the meeting was "audiocast" using multicast packet transmission from the IETF site over the Internet to participants at 20 sites on three continents spanning 16 time zones."



Data growth for VoD






Development of devices connected to the Internet

10% CAGR 2018-2023

Billions of Devices



* Figures (n) refer to 2018, 2023 device share

Source: Cisco Annual Internet Report, 2018-2023

Prof. Dr. Thomas C. Schmidt



The Internet scaling problems

Exponential user growth continues since decades (!) – this challenges the Internet architecture – key problems:

Addressing


Keep all Internet members reachable by a unique address.

Routing

Keep the Internet Routing and Forwarding system up to growing routes, updates and packet loads.



Routing Tables





ID-Locator Problem

IP packets issued to destination addresses. Addresses denote the two

- -the addressee (who it is?)
- -the location (where it is?)

Mobile Nodes change location ...




The Rendezvous Problem

How can mutually unknown parties meet each other on the Internet (e.g., to retrieve content)?

- without central indexing (Google)
- without globally coherent knowledge base (BGP)
- without central rendezvous point (PIM)
- without (unbounded) Broadcast




Future: Routing to Content





In-Network Storage (Caching)





Introduction to

INTERNET OF THINGS

Prof. Dr. Thomas C. Schmidt



The IoT Edge

Massive deployment of networked controllers

- Mainly resource constrained
- Optimized for cost not for quality
- -Weakened communication UDP++
- -Weak security: open ICS traffic
- High amplification for COAP + MQTT-SN

Heterogeneous deployment options in 5G

- Vertical slices
- Industrial/OEM private networks expected
- New major challenge for security and privacy: autonomous driving



Internet of Things

Very many tiny systems

- -without user interface
- Machine-to-Machine communication
- Energy often the limitation
- Security challenges: identity and authenticity

Continuously emerging application areas

- Industry 4.0 & smart homes
- Distributed data sensing
- Autonomous driving
- Embedded intelligence ...



Web of Things

Make applications interoperable

- Device management and integration
- Data transport
- Data organization
- Data encoding
- Data semantics

W3C and industry consortia compete about future solutions

Prof. Dr. Thomas C. Schmidt



You do need more than a cool technical solution;)



A logo



You do need more than a cool technical solution;)



Things.

A logo

A catchphrase



You do need more than a cool technical solution;)



The friendly operating system for the Internet of Things.



A logo

A catchphrase

T-shirts



Try it!

If you don't believe in practice, RIOT serves as sound platform for IoT networking research. [ACM CoNEXT'21&23, ACM/IEEE IPSN'22, EWSN ¹22&²3, ACM TECS 20(5s)&20(3), ACM SenSys'22, IEEE ComMag'24, INFOCOM 2025, ...1

https://www.riot-os.org



The Internet: A beautiful mess?

ACM CoNEXT 2022

57